Section 37 appeals

E.I.A.R RECOMMENDATION – E.I.A.R. SUBMITTED

ABP 319657-24

---

1. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning/Senior Planning Inspector/Planning Inspector

From: [illegible] **S.E.O.** **Date:** 19/07/2024

☐ An EIAR is included with this appeal/application. Please recommend whether or not the EIAR complies with the requirements of Article 94 and Schedule 6 to the Planning and Development Regulations 2001. as amended/circular letter PL 1/2017

☐ The activity is/is not/may be licensable under the EPA Act 1992, as amended/Waste Management Act 1996, as amended. Please recommend whether or not the EPA should be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIAR, where appropriate.

---

2. To: Director of Planning/Assistant Director of Planning
From:- Reporting Inspector

In my view, the submitted EIAR:-

☑ Complies with the above requirements

☐ Does not comply with the above requirements for the reasons set out in the attached memo.

☐ I consider that further information (as set out in the attached memo) is necessary to enable the Board to determine the appeal. I recommend that this information should be requested under Section 132 of the Regulations.

In my view, the EPA should/should not be requested to confirm the licensing status and to submit observations on the EIAR, as appropriate.

Stephen Ward **Date:** 25/6/24.

---

3. Decision
Pursuant to Board Resolution of 2/12/2008, under Section 111 (6) (a) of the Planning and Development Act 2000, as amended, which authorised me to perform certain of its functions, I hereby

☐ agree with the above recommendation.

☐ disagree with the above recommendation for reasons set out in the attached memo.

D.O.P/A.D.P: [illegible] **Date:** 2/07/24

Revised eissub dot (green form)